

GENERAL SOLUTION AND ULAM-HYERS STABILITY OF VIGINTI FUNCTIONAL EQUATIONS IN MULTI-BANACH SPACES

RAMDOSS MURALI*, ABASALT BODAGHI**, AND ARULDASS ANTONY
RAJ ***

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce the general form of a viginti functional equation. Then, we find the general solution and study the generalized Ulam-Hyers stability of such functional equation in multi-Banach spaces by using fixed point technique. Also, we indicate an example for non-stability case regarding to this new functional equation.

1. Introduction

The stability problem of functional equations has a long history. When we say the functional equation is stable, if for every approximate solution, there exists an exact solution near it. In 1940, Ulam [31] gave a wide-ranging talk before the mathematics club of the university of Wisconsin in which he discussed a number of important unsolved problems. Among those was the question concerning the stability of group homomorphisms, which was first solved by Hyers [18]. In fact, he solved this stability problem for additive mappings subject to the Hyers' condition

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \delta$$

on approximately additive mappings $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ for a fixed $\delta \geq 0$ and all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ where \mathcal{X} is a real normed space and \mathcal{Y} a real Banach space. In 1950, Aoki [1] generalized the Hyers' theorem for additive mappings. In 1978, Th. M. Rassias [26] provided a generalized version of the Hyers' theorem which permitted the Cauchy difference to become

Received December 30, 2017; Accepted January 31, 2018.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 39B52, 32B72, 32B82.

Key words and phrases: generalized Ulam-Hyers stability, multi-Banach spaces, viginti functional equation, fixed point.

Correspondence should be addressed to Abasalt Bodaghi, abasalt.bodaghi@gmail.com.

unbounded. By regarding a large influence of Ulam, Hyers and Rassias [26] on the investigation of stability problems of functional equations the stability phenomenon that was introduced and proved by Rassias is called the Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stability; some results regarding to the stability of various forms of the quadratic [12, 29], cubic [5, 9, 19, 23], quartic [3, 4, 5, 22], quintic [34], sextic [34], septic and octic [32], nonic [11, 24, 25], decic [2], undecic [27] and quattuordecic [28] functional equations have been investigated by a number of authors with more general domains and co-domains. For some stability of the mixed type functional equations refer to [6], [7], [8], [10], [17] and [21].

In this current work, we carry out the general solution and generalized Ulam-Hyers stability for the *viginti* functional equation

$$\begin{aligned}
 & f(x + 10y) - 20f(x + 9y) + 190f(x + 8y) - 1140f(x + 7y) \\
 & + 4845f(x + 6y) - 15504f(x + 5y) + 38760f(x + 4y) \\
 & - 77520f(x + 3y) + 125970f(x + 2y) - 167960f(x + y) \\
 (1.1) \quad & + 184756f(x) - 167960f(x - y) + 125970f(x - 2y) \\
 & - 77520f(x - 3y) + 38760f(x - 4y) - 15504f(x - 5y) \\
 & + 4845f(x - 6y) - 1140f(x - 7y) + 190f(x - 8y) \\
 & - 20f(x - 9y) + f(x - 10y) = 20!f(y)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $20! = 2432902008000000000$, in multi-Banach Spaces by applying fixed point technique. It is easily verified that that the function $f(x) = x^{20}$ satisfies the functional equation (1.1). In other words, every solution of the viginti functional equation is called a *viginti mapping*.

2. Notations and Preliminaries

We firstly recall some concepts regarding multi-Banach spaces setting. Let $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|)$ be a complex normed space, and let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We denote by \mathcal{A}^k the linear space $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{A} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{A}$ consisting of k -tuples (x_1, \cdots, x_k) where $x_1, \cdots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$. The linear operations on \mathcal{A}^k are defined coordinate wise. The zero element of either \mathcal{A} or \mathcal{A}^k is denoted by 0. We denote by \mathbb{N}_k the set $\{1, 2, \cdots, k\}$ and by Ψ_k the group of permutations on k symbols.

DEFINITION 2.1. [13] A multi-norm on $\{\mathcal{A}^k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a sequence $(\|\cdot\|) = (\|\cdot\|_k : k \in \mathbb{N})$ such that $\|\cdot\|_k$ is a norm on \mathcal{A}^k for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\|x\|_1 = \|x\|$ for each $x \in \mathcal{A}$, and the following axioms are satisfied for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geq 2$:

1. $\|(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k)})\|_k = \|(x_1, \dots, x_k)\|_k$
for $\sigma \in \Psi_k, x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$;
2. $\|(\alpha_1 x_1, \dots, \alpha_k x_k)\|_k \leq (\max_{i \in \mathbb{N}_k} |\alpha_i|) \|(x_1, \dots, x_k)\|_k$
for $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{C}, x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$;
3. $\|(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, 0)\|_k = \|(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1})\|_{k-1}$ for $x_1, \dots, x_{k-1} \in \mathcal{A}$;
4. $\|(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_{k-1})\|_k = \|(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1})\|_{k-1}$ for $x_1, \dots, x_{k-1} \in \mathcal{A}$.

In this case, we say that $((\mathcal{A}^k, \|\cdot\|_k) : k \in \mathbb{N})$ is a *multi-normed space*.

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $((\mathcal{A}^k, \|\cdot\|_k) : k \in \mathbb{N})$ is a multi-normed spaces. We need the following two properties of multi-norms which are taken from [13].

- (a) $\|(x, \dots, x)\|_k = \|x\|$ for $x \in \mathcal{A}$,
- (b) $\max_{i \in \mathbb{N}_k} \|x_i\| \leq \|(x_1, \dots, x_k)\|_k \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \|x_i\| \leq k \max_{i \in \mathbb{N}_k} \|x_i\|$,
for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$.

It follows from (b) that if $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space, then $(\mathcal{A}^k, \|\cdot\|_k)$ is a Banach space for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In this case, $((\mathcal{A}^k, \|\cdot\|_k) : k \in \mathbb{N})$ is a multi-Banach space.

LEMMA 2.2. [13] Suppose that $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathcal{A}^k$. For each $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, let $(x_n^j)_{n=1,2,\dots}$ be a sequence in \mathcal{A} such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n^j = x_j$. Then

$$(2.1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n^1 - y_1, \dots, x_n^k - y_k) = (x_1 - y_1, \dots, x_k - y_k)$$

holds for all $(y_1, \dots, y_k) \in \mathcal{A}^k$.

DEFINITION 2.3. [13] Let $((\mathcal{A}^k, \|\cdot\|_k) : k \in \mathbb{N})$ be a multi-normed space. A sequence (x_n) in \mathcal{A} is a multi-null sequence if for each $\delta > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(x_n, \dots, x_{n+k-1})\|_k \leq \delta \quad (n \geq n_0).$$

Let $x \in \mathcal{A}$, we say that the sequence (x_n) is multi-convergent to x in \mathcal{A} and write $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ if $(x_n - x)$ is a multi-null sequence.

Here, we state the following theorem due to Margolis and Diaz which is useful to our purpose (an extension of the result was given in [30]).

THEOREM 2.4. ([14] The fixed point alternative) Let (Ω, d) be a complete generalized metric space and $\mathcal{J} : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ be a mapping with Lipschitz constant $L < 1$. Then, for each element $x \in \Omega$, either

$d(\mathcal{J}^n x, \mathcal{J}^{n+1} x) = \infty$ for all $n \geq 0$, or there exists a natural number n_0 such that

- (i) $d(\mathcal{J}^n x, \mathcal{J}^{n+1} x) < \infty$ for all $n \geq n_0$;
- (ii) the sequence $\{\mathcal{J}^n x\}$ is convergent to a fixed point y^* of \mathcal{J} ;
- (iii) y^* is the unique fixed point of \mathcal{J} in the set

$$\Lambda = \{y \in \Omega : d(\mathcal{J}^{n_0} x, y) < \infty\};$$

- (iv) $d(y, y^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(y, \mathcal{J}y)$ for all $y \in \Lambda$.

Throughout this paper, we use the abbreviation for the given mapping $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(x, y) = & f(x + 10y) - 20f(x + 9y) + 190f(x + 8y) - 1140f(x + 7y) \\ & + 4845f(x + 6y) - 15504f(x + 5y) + 38760f(x + 4y) \\ & - 77520f(x + 3y) + 125970f(x + 2y) - 167960f(x + y) \\ & + 184756f(x) - 167960f(x - y) + 125970f(x - 2y) \\ & - 77520f(x - 3y) + 38760f(x - 4y) - 15504f(x - 5y) \\ & + 4845f(x - 6y) - 1140f(x - 7y) + 190f(x - 8y) \\ & - 20f(x - 9y) + f(x - 10y) - 20!f(y) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$.

3. General Solution of (1.1)

In this section, we show that every solution of the equation (1.1) is a viginti map.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a mapping satisfying (1.1) for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. Then, f is viginti.*

Proof. Putting $x = y = 0$ in (1.1), we have $f(0) = 0$. Substituting (x, y) by (x, x) in (1.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & f(11x) - 20f(10x) + 190f(9x) - 1140f(8x) + 4845f(7x) - 15504f(6x) \\ & + 38760f(5x) - 77520f(4x) + 125970f(3x) - 167960f(2x) \\ & + 184756f(x) + 125970f(-x) - 77520f(-2x) + 38760f(-3x) \\ & - 15504f(-4x) + 4845f(-5x) - 1140f(-6x) + 190f(-7x) \\ (3.1) \quad & - 20f(-8x) + f(-9x) - 20!f(x) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Interchanging (x, y) into $(x, -x)$ in (1.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & f(-9x) - 20f(-8x) + 190f(-7x) - 1140f(-6x) + 4845f(-5x) \\
 & - 15504f(-4x) + 38760f(-3x) - 77520f(-2x) + 125970f(-x) \\
 (3.2) \quad & + 184756f(-x) - 167960f(2x) + 125970f(3x) - 77520f(4x) \\
 & + 38760f(5x) - 15504f(6x) + 4845f(7x) \\
 & - 1140f(8x) + 190f(9x) - 20f(10x) + f(11x) - 20!f(-x) = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Subtracting (3.2) from (3.1), we arrive at

$$(3.3) \quad f(-x) = f(x)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence, f is an even mapping. Considering (x, y) by $(0, 2x)$ in (1.1) and using (3.3), we achieve

$$\begin{aligned}
 & f(20x) - 20f(18x) + 190f(16x) - 1140f(14x) + 4845f(12x) \\
 (3.4) \quad & - 15504f(10x) + 38760f(8x) - 77520f(6x) \\
 & + 125970f(4x) - 1216451004 \times 10^9 f(2x) = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Replacing (x, y) by $(10x, x)$ in (1.1) and using (3.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & f(20x) - 20f(19x) + 190f(18x) - 1140f(17x) + 4845f(16x) \\
 & - 15504f(15x) + 38760f(14x) - 77520f(13x) + 125970f(12x) \\
 (3.5) \quad & - 167960f(11x) + 184756f(10x) - 167960f(9x) + 125970f(8x) \\
 & - 77520f(7x) + 38760f(6x) - 15504f(5x) \\
 & + 4845f(4x) - 1140f(3x) + 190f(2x) - 20!f(x) = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Subtracting (3.4) from (3.5), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 20f(19x) - 210f(18x) + 1140f(17x) - 4655f(16x) \\
 & + 15504f(15x) - 39900f(14x) + 77520f(13x) - 121125f(12x) \\
 (3.6) \quad & + 167960f(11x) - 200260f(10x) + 167960f(9x) - 87210f(8x) \\
 & + 77520f(7x) - 116280f(6x) + 15504f(5x) + 121125f(4x) \\
 & + 1140f(3x) - 1216451004 \times 10^9 f(2x) + 20!f(x) = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Switching (x, y) into $(9x, x)$ in (1.1) and using (3.3), we reach

$$\begin{aligned}
 & f(19x) - 20f(18x) + 190f(17x) - 1140f(16x) + 4845f(15x) \\
 & - 15504f(14x) + 38760f(13x) - 77520f(12x) + 125970f(11x)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.7) \quad & -167960f(10x) + 184756f(9x) - 167960f(8x) + 125970f(7x) \\
& - 77520f(6x) + 38760f(5x) - 15504f(4x) + 4845f(3x) \\
& - 1140f(2x) - 20!f(x) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Multiplying (3.7) by 20, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.8) \quad & 20f(19x) - 400f(18x) + 3800f(17x) - 22800f(16x) \\
& + 96900f(15x) - 310080f(14x) + 775200f(13x) \\
& - 1550400f(12x) + 2519400f(11x) - 3359200f(10x) \\
& + 3695120f(9x) - 3359200f(8x) + 2519400f(7x) \\
& - 1550400f(6x) + 775200f(5x) - 310080f(4x) \\
& + 96900f(3x) - 22800f(2x) - 20!(20)f(x) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Subtracting (3.6) and (3.8), we obtain the following result.

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.9) \quad & 190f(18x) - 2660f(17x) + 18145f(16x) - 81396f(15x) \\
& + 270180f(14x) - 697680f(13x) + 1429275f(12x) \\
& - 2351440f(11x) + 3158940f(10x) - 3527160f(9x) \\
& + 3271990f(8x) - 2441880f(7x) + 1434120f(6x) \\
& - 759696f(5x) + 431205f(4x) \\
& - 95760f(3x) - 1216451004 \times 10^9 f(2x) + 20!(21)f(x) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Replacing (x, y) by $(8x, x)$ in (1.1) and applying (3.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.10) \quad & f(18x) - 20f(17x) + 190f(16x) - 1140f(15x) \\
& + 4845f(14x) - 15504f(13x) + 38760f(12x) \\
& - 77520f(11x) + 125970f(10x) - 167960f(9x) \\
& + 184756f(8x) - 167960f(7x) + 125970f(6x) \\
& - 77520f(5x) + 38760f(4x) - 15504f(3x) \\
& + 4846f(2x) - 20!f(x) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Multiplying (3.10) by 190, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.11) \quad & 190f(18x) - 3800f(17x) + 36100f(16x) - 216600f(15x) \\
& + 920550f(14x) - 2945760f(13x) + 7364400f(12x) \\
& - 14728800f(11x) + 23934300f(10x) - 31912400f(9x) \\
& + 35103640f(8x) - 31912400f(7x) + 23934300f(6x)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - 14728800f(5x) + 7364400f(4x) - 2945760f(3x) \\
 & + 920740f(2x) - 20!(190)f(x) = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Subtracting (3.9) from (3.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 1140f(17x) - 17955f(16x) + 135204f(15x) - 650370f(14x) \\
 & + 2248080f(13x) - 5935125f(12x) + 12377360f(11x) \\
 (3.12) \quad & - 20775360f(10x) + 28385240f(9x) - 31831650f(8x) \\
 & + 29470520f(7x) - 22500180f(6x) + 13969104f(5x) \\
 & - 6933195f(4x) + 2850000f(3x) - 1216451004 \times 10^9 f(2x) \\
 & + 20!(211)f(x) = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Interchanging (x, y) into $(7x, x)$ in (1.1) and also using (3.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & f(17x) - 20f(16x) + 190f(15x) - 1140f(14x) \\
 & + 4845f(13x) - 15504f(12x) + 38760f(11x) - 77520f(10x) \\
 (3.13) \quad & + 125970f(9x) - 167960f(8x) + 184756f(7x) - 167960f(6x) \\
 & + 125970f(5x) - 77520f(4x) + 38761f(3x) \\
 & - 15524f(2x) - 20!f(x) = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Multiplying (3.13) by 1140, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 1140f(17x) - 22800f(16x) + 216600f(15x) - 1299600f(14x) \\
 & + 5523300f(13x) - 17674560f(12x) + 44186400f(11x) \\
 (3.14) \quad & - 88372800f(10x) + 143605800f(9x) - 191474400f(8x) \\
 & + 210621840f(7x) - 191474400f(6x) + 143605800f(5x) \\
 & - 88372800f(4x) + 44187540f(3x) \\
 & - 17697360f(2x) - 20!(1140)f(x) = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Subtracting (3.12) from (3.14), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 4845f(16x) - 81396f(15x) + 649230f(14x) - 3275220f(13x) \\
 & + 11739435f(12x) - 31809040f(11x) + 67597440f(10x) \\
 (3.15) \quad & - 115220560f(9x) + 159642750f(8x) - 181151320f(7x) \\
 & + 168974220f(6x) - 129636696f(5x) + 81439605f(4x) \\
 & - 41337540f(3x) - 1216451004 \times 10^9 f(2x) \\
 & + 20!(1351)f(x) = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Replacing (x, y) into $(6x, x)$ in (1.1) and using (3.3), we achieve

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} & f(16x) - 20f(15x) + 190f(14x) - 1140f(13x) + 4845f(12x) \\ & - 15504f(11x) + 38760f(10x) - 77520f(9x) + 125970f(8x) \\ & - 167960f(7x) + 184756f(6x) - 167960f(5x) + 125971f(4x) \\ & - 77540f(3x) + 38950f(2x) - 20!f(x) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Multiplying (3.16) by 4845, we derive

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} & 4845f(16x) - 96900f(15x) + 920550f(14x) - 5523300f(13x) \\ & + 23474025f(12x) - 75116880f(11x) + 187792200f(10x) \\ & - 375584400f(9x) + 610324650f(8x) - 813766200f(7x) \\ & + 895142820f(6x) - 813766200f(5x) + 610329495f(4x) \\ & - 375681300f(3x) + 188712750f(2x) - 20!(4845)f(x) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Combining (3.15) and (3.17), we have

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} & 15504f(15x) - 271320f(14x) + 2248080f(13x) \\ & - 11734590f(12x) + 43307840f(11x) - 120194760f(10x) \\ & + 260363840f(9x) - 450681900f(8x) + 632614880f(7x) \\ & - 726168600f(6x) + 684129504f(5x) - 528889890f(4x) \\ & + 334343760f(3x) - 1216451004 \times 10^9 f(2x) \\ & + 20!(6196)f(x) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Switching (x, y) into $(5x, x)$ in (1.1) and using (3.3), we obtain

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{aligned} & f(15x) - 20f(14x) + 190f(13x) - 1140f(12x) + 4845f(11x) \\ & - 15504f(10x) + 38760f(9x) - 77520f(8x) + 125970f(7x) \\ & - 167960f(6x) + 18475f(5x) - 167980f(4x) + 126160f(3x) \\ & - 78660f(2x) - 20!f(x) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. The relation (3.19) necessities that

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{aligned} & 15504f(15x) - 310080f(14x) + 2945760f(13x) \\ & - 17674560f(12x) + 75116880f(11x) - 240374016f(10x) \\ & + 600935040f(9x) - 1201870080f(8x) + 1953038880f(7x) \\ & - 2604051840f(6x) + 2864472528f(5x) - 2604361920f(4x) \\ & + 1955984640f(3x) - 1219544640f(2x) - 20!(15504)f(x) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. It follows from (3.18) and (3.20) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 38760f(14x) - 697680f(13x) + 5939970f(12x) \\
 & - 31809040f(11x) + 120179256f(10x) - 340571200f(9x) \\
 (3.21) \quad & + 751188180f(8x) - 1320424000f(7x) + 1877883240f(6x) \\
 & - 2180343024f(5x) + 2075472030f(4x) - 1621640880f(3x) \\
 & - 1216451003 \times 10^9 f(2x) + 20!(21700)f(x) = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Replacing (x, y) by $(4x, x)$ in (1.1) and applying (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & f(14x) - 20f(13x) + 190f(12x) - 1140f(11x) + 4845f(10x) \\
 (3.22) \quad & - 15504f(9x) + 38760f(8x) - 77520f(7x) + 125971f(6x) \\
 & - 167980f(5x) + 184946f(4x) - 169100f(3x) \\
 & + 130815f(2x) - 20!f(x) = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Multiplying (3.22) by 38760, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 38760f(14x) - 775200f(13x) + 7364400f(12x) \\
 & - 44186400f(11x) + 187792200f(10x) - 600935040f(9x) \\
 (3.23) \quad & + 1502337600f(8x) - 3004675200f(7x) + 4882635960f(6x) \\
 & - 6510904800f(5x) + 7168506960f(4x) - 6554316000f(3x) \\
 & + 5070389400f(2x) - 20!(38760)f(x) = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. The relations (3.21) and (3.23) imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 77520f(13x) - 1424430f(12x) + 12377360f(11x) \\
 & - 67612944f(10x) + 260363840f(9x) - 751149420f(8x) \\
 & + 1684251200f(7x) - 3004752720f(6x) + 4330561776f(5x) \\
 & - 5093034930f(4x) + 4932675120f(3x) \\
 (3.24) \quad & - 1216451008 \times 10^9 f(2x) + 20!(60460)f(x) = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Interchanging (x, y) into $(3x, x)$ in (1.1) and using (3.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & f(13x) - 20f(12x) + 190f(11x) - 1140f(10x) + 4845f(9x) \\
 (3.25) \quad & - 15504f(8x) + 38761f(7x) - 77540f(6x) \\
 & + 126160f(5x) - 169100(4x) + 189601f(3x) \\
 & - 183464f(2x) - 20!f(x) = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Multiplying (3.25) by 77520, we reach

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 77520f(13x) - 1550400f(12x) + 14728800f(11x) \\
 & - 88372800f(10x) + 375584400f(9x) - 1201870080f(8x) \\
 (3.26) \quad & + 3004752720f(7x) - 6010900800f(6x) + 9779923200f(5x) \\
 & - 13108632000f(4x) + 14697869520f(3x) - 14222129280f(2x) \\
 & - 20!(77520)f(x) = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Subtracting (3.24) from (3.26), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 125970f(12x) - 2351440f(11x) + 20759856f(10x) \\
 & - 115220560f(9x) + 450720660f(8x) - 1320501520f(7x) \\
 (3.27) \quad & + 3006148080f(6x) - 5449361424f(5x) + 8015597070f(4x) \\
 & - 9765194400f(3x) - 1216450994 \times 10^9 f(2x) \\
 & + 20!(137980)f(x) = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Switching (x, y) into $(2x, x)$ in (1.1) and again applying the relation (3.3), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 & f(12x) - 20f(11x) + 190f(10x) - 1140f(9x) + 4846f(8x) \\
 (3.28) \quad & - 15524f(7x) + 38950f(6x) - 78660f(5x) + 130815f(4x) \\
 & - 183464f(3x) + 223516f(2x) - 20!f(x) = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Multiplying (3.28) by 125970, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 125970f(12x) - 2519400f(11x) + 23934300f(10x) \\
 & - 143605800f(9x) + 610450620f(8x) - 1955558280f(7x) \\
 (3.29) \quad & + 4906531500f(6x) - 9908800200f(5x) + 16478765550f(4x) \\
 & - 23110960080f(3x) + 28156310520f(2x) \\
 & - 20!(125970)f(x) = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. We Subtract (3.27) from (3.29), and so

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 167960f(11x) - 3174444f(10x) + 28385240f(9x) \\
 (3.30) \quad & - 159729960f(8x) + 635056760f(7x) - 1900383420f(6x) \\
 & + 4459438776f(5x) - 8463168480f(4x) + 13345765680f(3x) \\
 & - 1216451022 \times 10^9 f(2x) + 20!(263950)f(x) = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. It follows from (3.1) and (3.3) that

$$f(11x) - 20f(10x) + 191f(9x) - 1160f(8x) + 5035f(7x)$$

$$(3.31) \quad -16644f(6x) + 43605f(5x) - 93024f(4x) + 164730f(3x) \\ - 245480f(2x) - 20!f(x) = 0$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Multiplying (3.31) by 167960, we arrive at

$$(3.32) \quad 167960f(11x) - 3359200f(10x) + 32080360f(9x) \\ - 194833600f(8x) + 845678600f(7x) - 2795526240f(6x) \\ + 7323895800f(5x) - 15624311040f(4x) + 276680508000f(3x) \\ - 41230820800f(2x) - 20!(167960)f(x) = 0$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. The relations (3.30) and (3.32) show that

$$(3.33) \quad 184756f(10x) - 3695120f(9x) + 35103640f(8x) \\ - 210621840f(7x) + 895142820f(6x) - 2864457024f(5x) \\ + 7161142560f(4x) - 14322285120f(3x) \\ - 1216450981 \times 10^9 f(2x) + 20!(431910)f(x) = 0$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Setting (x, y) by $(0, x)$ in (1.1) and also using (3.3), one can obtain

$$(3.34) \quad f(10x) - 20f(9x) + 190f(8x) - 1140f(7x) + 4845f(6x) \\ - 15504f(5x) + 38760f(4x) - 77520f(3x) + 125970f(2x) \\ - \frac{20!}{2}(167960)f(x) = 0$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Multiplying (3.34) by 184756, we get

$$(3.35) \quad 184756f(10x) - 3695120f(9x) + 35103640f(8x) \\ - 210621840f(7x) + 895142820f(6x) - 2864457024f(5x) \\ + 7161142560f(4x) - 14322285120f(3x) \\ + 23273713320f(2x) + 20!(524288)f(x) = 0$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Subtracting (3.33) from (3.35), we reach $f(2x) = 2^{20}f(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Therefore, f is a viginti mapping. This completes the proof. \square

4. Stability of (1.1) in Multi-Banach Spaces

In this section, we investigate the generalized Ulam-Hyers stability of the functional equation (1.1) in multi-Banach spaces by using the fixed point method (Theorem 2.4).

THEOREM 4.1. *Let \mathcal{A} be an linear space and let $((\mathcal{B}^k, \|\cdot\|_k) : k \in \mathbb{N})$ be a multi-Banach space. Suppose that δ is a non-negative real number and $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a mapping fulfills*

$$(4.1) \quad \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(\mathcal{G}f(x_1, y_1), \dots, \mathcal{G}f(x_k, y_k))\|_k \leq \delta$$

$x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k \in \mathcal{A}$. Then, there exists a unique viginti mapping $\mathcal{V} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$(4.2) \quad \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(f(x_1) - \mathcal{V}(x_1), \dots, f(x_k) - \mathcal{V}(x_k))\|_k \leq \frac{61681}{1500635425 \times 10^{14}} \delta$$

for all $x_i \in \mathcal{A}$, where $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

Proof. Taking $x_i = 0$ and changing y_i by $2x_i$ in (4.1), and dividing by 2 in the resulting equation, we arrive

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(f(20x_1) - 20f(18x_1) + 190f(16x_1) - 1140f(14x_1) \\ & + 4845f(12x_1) - 15504f(10x_1) + 38760f(8x_1) - 77520f(6x_1) \\ & + 125970f(4x_1) - 1216451004 \times 10^9 f(2x_1), \dots, f(20x_k) \\ & - 20f(18x_k) + 190f(16x_k) - 1140f(14x_k) + 4845f(12x_k) \\ & - 15504f(10x_k) + 38760f(8x_k) - 77520f(6x_k) + 125970f(4x_k) \\ & - 1216451004 \times 10^9 f(2x_k))\|_k \leq \frac{\delta}{2} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$. Putting x_1, \dots, x_k into $10x_1, \dots, 10x_k$ and switching y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k into x_1, \dots, x_k , respectively in (4.1), we get

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(f(20x_1) - 20f(19x_1) + 190f(18x_1) - 1140f(17x_1) \\ & + 4845f(16x_1) - 15504f(15x_1) + 38760f(14x_1) \\ & - 77520f(13x_1) + 125970f(12x_1) - 167960f(11x_1) \\ & + 184756f(10x_1) - 167960f(9x_1) + 125970f(8x_1) \\ & - 77520f(7x_1) + 38760f(6x_1) - 15504f(5x_1) \\ & + 4845f(4x_1) - 1140f(3x_1) + 190f(2x_1) - 20!f(x_1), \dots, \\ & f(20x_k) - 20f(19x_k) + 190f(18x_k) - 1140f(17x_k) \\ & + 4845f(16x_k) - 15504f(15x_k) + 38760f(14x_k) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ 4845f(4x_1) - 1140f(3x_1) + 190f(2x_1) - 20!f(x_1), \dots, \\
 &f(20x_k) - 20f(19x_k) + 190f(18x_k) - 1140f(17x_k) \\
 &+ 4845f(16x_k) - 15504f(15x_k) + 38760f(14x_k) \\
 &- 77520f(13x_k) + 125970f(12x_k) - 167960f(11x_k) \\
 &+ 184756f(10x_k) - 167960f(9x_k) + 125970f(8x_k) \\
 &- 77520f(7x_k) + 38760f(6x_k) - 15504f(5x_k) \\
 &+ 4845f(4x_k) - 1140f(3x_k) + 190f(2x_k) - 20!f(x_k) \Big\|_k \leq \delta
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$. Combining (4.3) and (4.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(20f(19x_1) - 210f(18x_1) + 1140f(17x_1) \\
 &- 4655f(16x_1) + 15504f(15x_1) - 39900f(14x_1) \\
 &+ 77520f(13x_1) - 121125f(12x_1) + 167960f(11x_1) \\
 &- 200260f(10x_1) + 167960f(9x_1) - 87210f(8x_1) \\
 &+ 77520f(7x_1) - 116280f(6x_1) + 15504f(5x_1) \\
 &+ 121125f(4x_1) + 1140f(3x_1) - 1216451004 \times 10^9 f(2x_1) \\
 (4.5) \quad &+ 20!f(x_1), \dots, 20f(19x_k) - 210f(18x_k) + 1140f(17x_k) \\
 &- 4655f(16x_k) + 15504f(15x_k) - 39900f(14x_k) \\
 &+ 77520f(13x_k) - 121125f(12x_k) + 167960f(11x_k) \\
 &- 200260f(10x_k) + 167960f(9x_k) - 87210f(8x_k) \\
 &+ 77520f(7x_k) - 116280f(6x_k) + 15504f(5x_k) \\
 &+ 121125f(4x_k) + 1140f(3x_k) \\
 &- 1216451004 \times 10^9 f(2x_k) + 20!f(x_k) \Big\|_k \leq \frac{3}{2} \delta
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$. Replacing x_1, \dots, x_k by $9x_1, \dots, 9x_k$ and putting y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k by x_1, \dots, x_k , respectively in (4.1) and using the evenness of f , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(f(19x_1) - 20f(18x_1) + 190f(17x_1) \\
 &- 1140f(16x_1) + 4845f(15x_1) - 15504f(14x_1) \\
 &+ 38760f(13x_1) - 77520f(12x_1) + 125970f(11x_1) \\
 &- 167960f(10x_1) + 184756f(9x_1) - 167960f(8x_1) \\
 &+ 125970f(7x_1) - 77520f(6x_1) + 38760f(5x_1)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.6) \quad & -15504f(4x_1) + 4845f(3x_1) - 1140f(2x_1) \\
& - 20!f(x_1), \dots, f(19x_k) - 20f(18x_k) + 190f(17x_k) \\
& - 1140f(16x_k) + 4845f(15x_k) - 15504f(14x_k) \\
& + 38760f(13x_k) - 77520f(12x_k) + 125970f(11x_k) \\
& - 167960f(10x_k) + 184756f(9x_k) - 167960f(8x_k) \\
& + 125970f(7x_k) - 77520f(6x_k) + 38760f(5x_k) \\
& - 15504f(4x_k) + 4845f(3x_k) - 1140f(2x_k) - 20!f(x_k) \Big\|_k \leq \frac{3}{2}\delta
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$. Multiplying by 20 on both sides of (4.6), one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(20f(19x_1) - 400f(18x_1) + 3800f(17x_1) \\
& - 22800f(16x_1) + 96900f(15x_1) - 310080f(14x_1) \\
& + 775200f(13x_1) - 1550400f(12x_1) + 2519400f(11x_1) \\
& - 3359200f(10x_1) + 3695120f(9x_1) - 3359200f(8x_1) \\
& + 2519400f(7x_1) - 1550400f(6x_1) + 775200f(5x_1) \\
& - 310080f(4x_1) + 96900f(3x_1) - 22800f(2x_1) \\
(4.7) \quad & - 20!(20)f(x_1), \dots, 20f(19x_k) - 400f(18x_k) + 3800f(17x_k) \\
& + 96900f(15x_k) - 310080f(14x_k) + 775200f(13x_k) \\
& - 1550400f(12x_k) - 22800f(16x_k) + 2519400f(11x_k) \\
& - 3359200f(10x_k) + 3695120f(9x_k) - 3359200f(8x_k) \\
& - 1550400f(6x_k) + 775200f(5x_k) - 310080f(4x_k) \\
& + 2519400f(7x_k) + 96900f(3x_k) \\
& - 22800f(2x_k) - 20!(20)f(x_k) \Big\|_k \leq 20\delta
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$. Subtracting (4.5) from (4.7), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(190f(18x_1) - 2660f(17x_1) + 18145f(16x_1) \\
& - 81396f(15x_1) + 270180f(14x_1) - 697680f(13x_1) \\
& + 1429275f(12x_1) - 2351440f(11x_1) + 3158940f(10x_1) \\
& - 3527160f(9x_1) + 3271990f(8x_1) - 2441880f(7x_1) \\
& + 1434120f(6x_1) - 759696f(5x_1) + 431205f(4x_1) \\
& - 95760f(3x_1) - 1216451004 \times 10^9 f(2x_1) + 20!(21)f(x_1)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.8) \quad & , \dots, 190f(18x_k) - 2660f(17x_k) + 18145f(16x_k) \\
 & - 81396f(15x_k) + 270180f(14x_k) - 697680f(13x_k) \\
 & + 1429275f(12x_k) - 2351440f(11x_k) + 3158940f(10x_k) \\
 & - 3527160f(9x_k) + 3271990f(8x_k) + 1434120f(6x_k) \\
 & - 759696f(5x_k) + 431205f(4x_k) - 2441880f(7x_k) \\
 & - 95760f(3x_k) - 1216451004 \times 10^9 f(2x_k) \\
 & + 20!(21)f(x_k) \|_k \leq \frac{43}{2} \delta
 \end{aligned}$$

$x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$. Setting x_1, \dots, x_k by $8x_1, \dots, 8x_k$ and replacing y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k by x_1, \dots, x_k , respectively in (4.1) and applying the evenness of f , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.9) \quad & \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(f(18x_1) - 20f(17x_1) + 190f(16x_1) - 1140f(15x_1) \\
 & + 4845f(14x_1) - 15504f(13x_1) + 38760f(12x_1) \\
 & - 77520f(11x_1) + 125970f(10x_1) - 167960f(9x_1) \\
 & + 184756f(8x_1) - 167960f(7x_1) + 125970f(6x_1) \\
 & - 77520f(5x_1) + 38760f(4x_1) - 15504f(3x_1) \\
 & + 4846f(2x_1) - 20!f(x_1), \dots, f(18x_k) - 20f(17x_k) \\
 & + 190f(16x_k) - 1140f(15x_k) + 4845f(14x_k) \\
 & - 15504f(13x_k) + 38760f(12x_k) - 77520f(11x_k) \\
 & + 125970f(10x_k) - 167960f(9x_k) + 184756f(8x_k) \\
 & - 167960f(7x_k) + 125970f(6x_k) - 77520f(5x_k) \\
 & + 38760f(4x_k) - 15504f(3x_k) + 4846f(2x_k) - 20!f(x_k)\|_k \leq \delta
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$. Multiplying (4.9) by 190, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.10) \quad & \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(190f(18x_1) - 3800f(17x_1) + 36100f(16x_1) \\
 & - 216600f(15x_1) + 920550f(14x_1) - 2945760f(13x_1) \\
 & + 7364400f(12x_1) - 14728800f(11x_1) + 23934300f(10x_1) \\
 & - 31912400f(9x_1) + 35103640f(8x_1) - 31912400f(7x_1) \\
 & + 23934300f(6x_1) - 14728800f(5x_1) + 7364400f(4x_1) \\
 & - 2945760f(3x_1) + 920740f(2x_1) - 20!(190)f(x_1), \dots, \\
 & 190f(18x_k) - 3800f(17x_k) + 36100f(16x_k) - 216600f(15x_k) \\
 & + 920550f(14x_k) - 2945760f(13x_k) + 7364400f(12x_k)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -14728800f(11x_k) + 23934300f(10x_k) - 31912400f(9x_k) \\
& + 35103640f(8x_k) - 31912400f(7x_k) + 23934300f(6x_k) \\
& - 14728800f(5x_k) + 7364400f(4x_k) - 2945760f(3x_k) \\
& + 920740f(2x_k) - 20!(190)f(x_k) \Big\|_k \leq 190\delta
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$. Plugging (4.8) and (4.10), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.11) \quad & \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \Big\| (1140f(17x_1) - 17955f(16x_1) + 135204f(15x_1) \\
& - 650370f(14x_1) + 2248080f(13x_1) - 5935125f(12x_1) \\
& + 12377360f(11x_1) - 20775360f(10x_1) + 28385240f(9x_1) \\
& - 31831650f(8x_1) + 29470520f(7x_1) - 22500180f(6x_1) \\
& + 13969104f(5x_1) - 6933195f(4x_1) + 2850000f(3x_1) \\
& - 1216451004 \times 10^9 f(2x_1) + 20!(211)f(x_1), \dots, \\
& 1140f(17x_k) - 17955f(16x_k) + 135204f(15x_k) \\
& - 650370f(14x_k) + 2248080f(13x_k) - 5935125f(12x_k) \\
& + 12377360f(11x_k) - 20775360f(10x_k) + 28385240f(9x_k) \\
& - 31831650f(8x_k) + 29470520f(7x_k) - 22500180f(6x_k) \\
& + 13969104f(5x_k) - 6933195f(4x_k) + 2850000f(3x_k) \\
& - 1216451004 \times 10^9 f(2x_k) + 20!(211)f(x_k) \Big\|_k \leq \frac{423}{2}\delta
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$. Taking x_1, \dots, x_k by $7x_1, \dots, 7x_k$ and interchanging y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k into x_1, \dots, x_k , respectively in (4.1) and again using the evenness of f , we show that

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.12) \quad & \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \Big\| (f(17x_1) - 20f(16x_1) + 190f(15x_1) - 1140f(14x_1) \\
& + 4845f(13x_1) - 15504f(12x_1) + 38760f(11x_1) \\
& - 77520f(10x_1) + 125970f(9x_1) - 167960f(8x_1) \\
& + 184756f(7x_1) - 167960f(6x_1) + 125970f(5x_1) \\
& - 77520f(4x_1) + 38761f(3x_1) - 15524f(2x_1) \\
& - 20!f(x_1), \dots, f(17x_k) - 20f(16x_k) + 190f(15x_k) \\
& - 1140f(14x_k) + 4845f(13x_k) - 15504f(12x_k) \\
& + 38760f(11x_k) - 77520f(10x_k) + 125970f(9x_k) \\
& - 167960f(8x_k) + 184756f(7x_k) - 167960f(6x_k)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ 125970f(5x_k) - 77520f(4x_k) + 38761f(3x_k) \\
 &- 15524f(2x_k) - 20!f(x_k) \Big\|_k \leq \delta
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$. Multiplying (4.12) by 1140, we achieve

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.13) \quad &\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \Big\| (1140f(17x_1) - 22800f(16x_1) + 216600f(15x_1) \\
 &- 1299600f(14x_1) + 5523300f(13x_1) - 17674560f(12x_1) \\
 &+ 44186400f(11x_1) - 88372800f(10x_1) + 143605800f(9x_1) \\
 &- 191474400f(8x_1) + 210621840f(7x_1) - 191474400f(6x_1) \\
 &+ 143605800f(5x_1) - 88372800f(4x_1) + 44187540f(3x_1) \\
 &- 17697360f(2x_1) - 20!(1140)f(x_1), \dots, \\
 &1140f(17x_k) - 22800f(16x_k) + 216600f(15x_k) \\
 &- 1299600f(14x_k) + 5523300f(13x_k) - 17674560f(12x_k) \\
 &+ 44186400f(11x_k) - 88372800f(10x_k) + 143605800f(9x_k) \\
 &- 191474400f(8x_k) + 210621840f(7x_k) - 191474400f(6x_k) \\
 &+ 143605800f(5x_k) - 88372800f(4x_k) + 44187540f(3x_k) \\
 &- 17697360f(2x_k) - 20!(1140)f(x_k) \Big\|_k \leq 1140\delta
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$. Subtracting (4.11) from (4.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.14) \quad &\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \Big\| (4845f(16x_1) - 81396f(15x_1) + 649230f(14x_1) \\
 &- 3275220f(13x_1) + 11739435f(12x_1) \\
 &- 31809040f(11x_1) + 67597440f(10x_1) \\
 &- 115220560f(9x_1) + 159642750f(8x_1) \\
 &- 181151320f(7x_1) + 168974220f(6x_1) \\
 &- 129636696f(5x_1) + 81439605f(4x_1) \\
 &- 41337540f(3x_1) - 1216451004 \times 10^9 f(2x_1) \\
 &+ 20!(1351)f(x_1), \dots, 4845f(16x_k) - 81396f(15x_k) \\
 &+ 649230f(14x_k) - 3275220f(13x_k) + 11739435f(12x_k) \\
 &- 31809040f(11x_k) + 67597440f(10x_k) - 115220560f(9x_k) \\
 &+ 159642750f(8x_k) - 181151320f(7x_k) + 168974220f(6x_k) \\
 &- 129636696f(5x_k) + 81439605f(4x_k) - 41337540f(3x_k) \\
 &- 1216451004 \times 10^9 f(2x_k) + 20!(1351)f(x_k) \Big\|_k \leq \frac{2703}{2} \delta
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$. Putting x_1, \dots, x_k into $6x_1, \dots, 6x_k$ and replacing y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k by x_1, \dots, x_k in (4.1), respectively and using the evenness of f , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.15) \quad & \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(f(16x_1) - 20f(15x_1) + 190f(14x_1) \\
& - 1140f(13x_1) + 4845f(12x_1) - 15504f(11x_1) \\
& + 38760f(10x_1) - 77520f(9x_1) + 125970f(8x_1) \\
& - 167960f(7x_1) + 184756f(6x_1) - 167960f(5x_1) \\
& + 125971f(4x_1) - 77540f(3x_1) + 38950f(2x_1) \\
& - 20!f(x_1), \dots, f(16x_k) - 20f(15x_k) + 190f(14x_k) \\
& - 1140f(13x_k) + 4845f(12x_k) - 15504f(11x_k) \\
& + 38760f(10x_k) - 77520f(9x_k) + 125970f(8x_k) \\
& - 167960f(7x_k) + 184756f(6x_k) - 167960f(5x_k) \\
& + 125971f(4x_k) - 77540f(3x_k) \\
& + 38950f(2x_k) - 20!f(x_k))\|_k \leq \delta
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$. Multiplying (4.15) by 4845, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.16) \quad & \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(4845f(16x_1) - 96900f(15x_1) + 920550f(14x_1) \\
& - 5523300f(13x_1) + 23474025f(12x_1) - 75116880f(11x_1) \\
& + 187792200f(10x_1) - 375584400f(9x_1) + 610324650f(8x_1) \\
& - 813766200f(7x_1) + 895142820f(6x_1) - 813766200f(5x_1) \\
& + 610329495f(4x_1) - 375681300f(3x_1) + 188712750f(2x_1) \\
& - 20!(4845)f(x_1), \dots, 4845f(16x_k) - 96900f(15x_k) \\
& + 920550f(14x_k) - 5523300f(13x_k) + 23474025f(12x_k) \\
& - 75116880f(11x_k) + 187792200f(10x_k) - 375584400f(9x_k) \\
& + 895142820f(6x_k) - 813766200f(5x_k) + 610329495f(4x_k) \\
& + 610324650f(8x_k) - 813766200f(7x_k) - 375681300f(3x_k) \\
& + 188712750f(2x_k) - 20!(4845)f(x_k))\|_k \leq 4845\delta
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$. Subtracting (4.14) from (4.16), one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(15504f(15x_1) - 271320f(14x_1) \\
& + 2248080f(13x_1) - 11734590f(12x_1) + 43307840f(11x_1) \\
& - 120194760f(10x_1) + 260363840f(9x_1) - 450681900f(8x_1)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + 632614880f(7x_1) - 726168600f(6x_1) + 684129504f(5x_1) \\
 & - 528889890f(4x_1) + 334343760f(3x_1) \\
 (4.17) \quad & - 1216451004 \times 10^9 f(2x_1) + 20!(6196)f(x_1), \dots, \\
 & 15504f(15x_k) - 271320f(14x_k) + 2248080f(13x_k) \\
 & - 11734590f(12x_k) + 43307840f(11x_k) - 120194760f(10x_k) \\
 & + 260363840f(9x_k) - 450681900f(8x_k) + 632614880f(7x_k) \\
 & - 528889890f(4x_k) + 334343760f(3x_k) \\
 & - 726168600f(6x_k) + 684129504f(5x_k) \\
 & - 1216451004 \times 10^9 f(2x_k) + 20!(6196)f(x_k) \|_k \leq \frac{12393}{2} \delta
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$. Switching x_1, \dots, x_k into $5x_1, \dots, 5x_k$ and Changing y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k by x_1, \dots, x_k , respectively in (4.1), we reach

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(f(15x_1) - 20f(14x_1) + 190f(13x_1) - 1140f(12x_1) \\
 & + 4845f(11x_1) - 15504f(10x_1) + 38760f(9x_1) \\
 & - 77520f(8x_1) + 125970f(7x_1) - 167960f(6x_1) \\
 & + 18475f(5x_1) - 167980f(4x_1) + 126160f(3x_1) \\
 (4.18) \quad & - 78660f(2x_1) - 20!f(x_1), \dots, f(15x_k) - 20f(14x_k) \\
 & + 190f(13x_k) - 1140f(12x_k) + 4845f(11x_k) \\
 & - 15504f(10x_k) + 38760f(9x_k) - 77520f(8x_k) + 125970f(7x_k) \\
 & - 167960f(6x_k) + 18475f(5x_k) - 167980f(4x_k) \\
 & + 126160f(3x_k) - 78660f(2x_k) - 20!f(x_k) \|_k \leq \delta
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$. Multiplying (4.18) by 15504, we arrive

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(15504f(15x_1) - 310080f(14x_1) + 2945760f(13x_1) \\
 & - 17674560f(12x_1) + 75116880f(11x_1) - 240374016f(10x_1) \\
 & + 600935040f(9x_1) - 1201870080f(8x_1) + 1953038880f(7x_1) \\
 & - 2604051840f(6x_1) + 2864472528f(5x_1) - 2604361920f(4x_1) \\
 & + 1955984640f(3x_1) - 1219544640f(2x_1) - 20!(15504)f(x_1) \\
 (4.19) \quad & , \dots, 15504f(15x_k) - 310080f(14x_k) + 2945760f(13x_k) \\
 & - 17674560f(12x_k) + 75116880f(11x_k) - 240374016f(10x_k) \\
 & + 600935040f(9x_k) - 1201870080f(8x_k) + 1953038880f(7x_k)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - 2604051840f(6x_k) + 2864472528f(5x_k) - 2604361920f(4x_k) \\
& + 1955984640f(3x_k) - 1219544640f(2x_k) \\
& - 20!(15504)f(x_k) \Big\|_k \leq 15504\delta
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$. Subtracting (4.17) and (4.19)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(15504f(15x_1) - 310080f(14x_1) \\
& + 2945760f(13x_1) - 17674560f(12x_1) + 75116880f(11x_1) \\
& - 240374016f(10x_1) + 600935040f(9x_1) - 1201870080f(8x_1) \\
& + 1953038880f(7x_1) - 2604051840f(6x_1) + 2864472528f(5x_1) \\
& - 2604361920f(4x_1) + 1955984640f(3x_1) - 1219544640f(2x_1) \\
(4.20) \quad & - 20!(15504)f(x_1), \dots, 15504f(15x_k) - 310080f(14x_k) \\
& + 2945760f(13x_k) - 17674560f(12x_k) + 75116880f(11x_k) \\
& - 240374016f(10x_k) + 600935040f(9x_k) - 1201870080f(8x_k) \\
& + 1953038880f(7x_k) - 2604051840f(6x_k) + 2864472528f(5x_k) \\
& - 2604361920f(4x_k) + 1955984640f(3x_k) - 1219544640f(2x_k) \\
& - 20!(15504)f(x_k) \Big\|_k \leq \frac{43401}{2}\delta
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$. Taking x_1, \dots, x_k by $4x_1, \dots, 4x_k$ and changing y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k by x_1, \dots, x_k , respectively in (4.1), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(f(14x_1) - 20f(13x_1) + 190f(12x_1) - 1140f(11x_1) \\
& + 4845f(10x_1) - 15504f(9x_1) + 38760f(8x_1) - 77520f(7x_1) \\
& + 125971f(6x_1) - 167980f(5x_1) + 184946f(4x_1) \\
(4.21) \quad & - 169100f(3x_1) + 130815f(2x_1) - 20!f(x_1), \dots, f(14x_k) \\
& - 20f(13x_k) + 190f(12x_k) - 1140f(11x_k) + 4845f(10x_k) \\
& - 15504f(9x_k) + 38760f(8x_k) - 77520f(7x_k) + 125971f(6x_k) \\
& - 167980f(5x_k) + 184946f(4x_k) - 169100f(3x_k) \\
& + 130815f(2x_k) - 20!f(x_k) \Big\|_k \leq \delta
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$. Multiplying (4.21) by 38760, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(38760f(14x_1) - 775200f(13x_1) + 7364400f(12x_1) \\
& - 44186400f(11x_1) + 187792200f(10x_1) - 600935040f(9x_1) \\
& + 1502337600f(8x_1) - 3004675200f(7x_1) + 4882635960f(6x_1)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - 6510904800f(5x_1) + 7168506960f(4x_1) - 6554316000f(3x_1) \\
 (4.22) \quad & + 5070389400f(2x_1) - 20!(38760)f(x_1), \dots, \\
 & 38760f(14x_k) - 775200f(13x_k) + 7364400f(12x_k) \\
 & - 44186400f(11x_k) + 187792200f(10x_k) - 600935040f(9x_k) \\
 & + 1502337600f(8x_k) - 3004675200f(7x_k) + 4882635960f(6x_k) \\
 & - 6510904800f(5x_k) + 7168506960f(4x_k) - 6554316000f(3x_k) \\
 & + 5070389400f(2x_k) - 20!(38760)f(x_k) \Big\|_k \leq 38760\delta
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$. Subtracting (4.20) and (4.22)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \Big\| (77520f(13x_1) - 1424430f(12x_1) \\
 & + 12377360f(11x_1) - 67612944f(10x_1) + 260363840f(9x_1) \\
 & - 751149420f(8x_1) + 1684251200f(7x_1) - 3004752720f(6x_1) \\
 & + 4330561776f(5x_1) - 5093034930f(4x_1) + 4932675120f(3x_1) \\
 (4.23) \quad & - 1216451008 \times 10^9 f(2x_1) + 20!(60460)f(x_1), \dots, \\
 & 77520f(13x_k) - 1424430f(12x_k) + 12377360f(11x_k) \\
 & - 67612944f(10x_k) + 260363840f(9x_k) - 751149420f(8x_k) \\
 & + 1684251200f(7x_k) - 3004752720f(6x_k) + 4330561776f(5x_k) \\
 & - 5093034930f(4x_k) + 4932675120f(3x_k) \\
 & - 1216451008 \times 10^9 f(2x_k) + 20!(60460)f(x_k) \Big\|_k \leq \frac{120921}{2} \delta
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$. Replacing x_1, \dots, x_k by $3x_1, \dots, 3x_k$ and putting y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k by x_1, \dots, x_k , respectively in (4.1) and also applying the evenness of f , we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \Big\| (f(13x_1) - 20f(12x_1) + 190f(11x_1) \\
 & - 1140f(10x_1) + 4845f(9x_1) - 15504f(8x_1) + 38761f(7x_1) \\
 & - 77540f(6x_1) + 126160f(5x_1) - 169100(4x_1) + 189601f(3x_1) \\
 & - 183464f(2x_1) - 20!f(x_1), \dots, f(13x_k) - 20f(12x_k) \\
 & + 190f(11x_k) - 1140f(10x_k) + 4845f(9x_k) - 15504f(8x_k) \\
 & + 38761f(7x_k) - 77540f(6x_k) + 126160f(5x_k) - 169100(4x_k) \\
 (4.24) \quad & + 189601f(3x_k) - 183464f(2x_k) - 20!f(x_k) \Big\|_k \leq \delta
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$. Multiplying (4.24) by 77520, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(77520f(13x_1) - 1550400f(12x_1) + 14728800f(11x_1) \\
& - 88372800f(10x_1) + 375584400f(9x_1) - 1201870080f(8x_1) \\
& + 3004752720f(7x_1) - 6010900800f(6x_1) + 9779923200f(5x_1) \\
(4.25) \quad & - 13108632000f(4x_1) + 14697869520f(3x_1) \\
& - 14222129280f(2x_1) - 20!(77520)f(x_1), \dots, 77520f(13x_k) \\
& - 1550400f(12x_k) + 14728800f(11x_k) - 88372800f(10x_k) \\
& + 375584400f(9x_k) - 1201870080f(8x_k) + 3004752720f(7x_k) \\
& - 6010900800f(6x_k) + 9779923200f(5x_k) \\
& - 13108632000f(4x_k) + 14697869520f(3x_k) \\
& - 14222129280f(2x_k) - 20!(77520)f(x_k)\|_k \leq 77520\delta
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$. Subtracting of (4.23) and (4.25) shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(125970f(12x_1) - 2351440f(11x_1) \\
& + 20759856f(10x_1) - 115220560f(9x_1) \\
& + 450720660f(8x_1) - 1320501520f(7x_1) \\
& + 3006148080f(6x_1) - 5449361424f(5x_1) \\
& + 8015597070f(4x_1) - 9765194400f(3x_1) \\
& - 1216450994 \times 10^9 f(2x_1) + 20!(137980)f(x_1), \dots, \\
& + 20759856f(10x_k) - 115220560f(9x_k) + 450720660f(8x_k) \\
& 125970f(12x_k) - 2351440f(11x_k) - 1320501520f(7x_k) \\
& + 3006148080f(6x_k) - 5449361424f(5x_k) \\
& + 8015597070f(4x_k) - 9765194400f(3x_k) \\
(4.26) \quad & - 1216450994 \times 10^9 f(2x_k) + 20!(137980)f(x_k)\|_k \leq \frac{275961}{2} \delta
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$. Substituting x_1, \dots, x_k by $2x_1, \dots, 2x_k$ and letting y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k by x_1, \dots, x_k in (4.1) and using the evenness of f , we find

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(f(12x_1) - 20f(11x_1) + 190f(10x_1) - 1140f(9x_1) \\
& + 4846f(8x_1) - 15524f(7x_1) + 38950f(6x_1) - 78660f(5x_1) \\
& + 130815f(4x_1) - 183464f(3x_1) + 223516f(2x_1) - 20!f(x_1)
\end{aligned}$$

$$(4.27) \quad \begin{aligned} & , \dots , f(12x_k) - 20f(11x_k) + 190f(10x_k) - 1140f(9x_k) \\ & + 4846f(8x_k) - 15524f(7x_k) + 38950f(6x_k) \\ & - 78660f(5x_k) + 130815f(4x_k) - 183464f(3x_k) \\ & + 223516f(2x_k) - 20!f(x_k) \end{aligned} \|_k \leq \delta$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$. If we multiply (4.27) by 125970, then we reach the following result.

$$(4.28) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(125970f(12x_1) - 2519400f(11x_1) \\ & + 23934300f(10x_1) - 143605800f(9x_1) \\ & + 610450620f(8x_1) - 1955558280f(7x_1) \\ & + 4906531500f(6x_1) - 9908800200f(5x_1) \\ & + 16478765550f(4x_1) - 23110960080f(3x_1) \\ & + 28156310520f(2x_1) - 20!(125970)f(x_1) \\ & , \dots , 125970f(12x_k) - 2519400f(11x_k) + 23934300f(10x_k) \\ & - 143605800f(9x_k) + 610450620f(8x_k) \\ & - 1955558280f(7x_k) + 4906531500f(6x_k) \\ & - 9908800200f(5x_k) + 16478765550f(4x_k) \\ & - 23110960080f(3x_k) + 28156310520f(2x_k) \\ & - 20!(125970)f(x_k)\|_k \leq 125970\delta \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$. Plugging (4.26) into (4.28), we see that

$$(4.29) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(167960f(11x_1) - 3174444f(10x_1) + 28385240f(9x_1) \\ & - 159729960f(8x_1) + 635056760f(7x_1) \\ & - 1900383420f(6x_1) + 4459438776f(5x_1) \\ & - 8463168480f(4x_1) + 13345765680f(3x_1) \\ & - 1216451022 \times 10^9 f(2x_1) + 20!(263950)f(x_1), \dots , \\ & 167960f(11x_k) - 3174444f(10x_k) + 28385240f(9x_k) \\ & - 159729960f(8x_k) + 635056760f(7x_k) - 1900383420f(6x_k) \\ & + 4459438776f(5x_k) - 8463168480f(4x_k) \\ & + 13345765680f(3x_k) - 1216451022 \times 10^9 f(2x_k) \\ & + 20!(263950)f(x_k)\|_k \leq \frac{527901}{2} \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Taking x_1, \dots, x_k by x_1, \dots, x_k and changing y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k by x_1, \dots, x_k , respectively in (4.1) and again applying the evenness of f , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.30) \quad & \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(f(11x_1) - 20f(10x_1) + 191f(9x_1) - 1160f(8x_1) \\
& + 5035f(7x_1) - 16644f(6x_1) + 43605f(5x_1) - 93024f(4x_1) \\
& + 164730f(3x_1) - 245480f(2x_1) - 20!f(x_1), \dots, f(11x_k) \\
& - 20f(10x_k) + 191f(9x_k) - 1160f(8x_k) + 5035f(7x_k) \\
& - 16644f(6x_k) + 43605f(5x_k) - 93024f(4x_k) \\
& + 164730f(3x_k) - 245480f(2x_k) - 20!f(x_k))\|_k \leq \delta
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$. Multiplying (4.30) by 167960, we arrive

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.31) \quad & \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(167960f(11x_1) - 3359200f(10x_1) \\
& + 32080360f(9x_1) - 194833600f(8x_1) + 845678600f(7x_1) \\
& - 2795526240f(6x_1) + 7323895800f(5x_1) \\
& - 15624311040f(4x_1) + 276680508000f(3x_1) \\
& - 20!(167960)f(x_1), \dots, 167960f(11x_k) \\
& - 3359200f(10x_k) + 32080360f(9x_k) \\
& - 194833600f(8x_k) + 845678600f(7x_k) \\
& - 2795526240f(6x_k) + 7323895800f(5x_k) \\
& - 15624311040f(4x_k) + 276680508000f(3x_k) \\
& - 41230820800f(2x_k) - 20!(167960)f(x_k))\|_k \leq 167960\delta
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$. Subtracting (4.29) and (4.31), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.32) \quad & \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(184756f(10x_1) - 3695120f(9x_1) \\
& + 35103640f(8x_1) - 210621840f(7x_1) \\
& + 895142820f(6x_1) - 2864457024f(5x_1) \\
& + 7161142560f(4x_1) - 14322285120f(3x_1) \\
& - 1216450981 \times 10^9 f(2x_1) + 20!(431910)f(x_1), \dots, \\
& 184756f(10x_k) - 3695120f(9x_k) + 35103640f(8x_k) \\
& - 210621840f(7x_k) + 895142820f(6x_k) - 2864457024f(5x_k) \\
& + 7161142560f(4x_k) - 14322285120f(3x_k) \\
& - 1216450981 \times 10^9 f(2x_k) + 20!(431910)f(x_k))\|_k \leq \frac{863821}{2} \delta
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$. Taking $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_k = 0$ and Changing y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k by x_1, \dots, x_k (4.1) and using (3.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(f(10x_1) - 20f(9x_1) + 190f(8x_1) - 1140f(7x_1) \\
 & + 4845f(6x_1) - 15504f(5x_1) + 38760f(4x_1) - 77520f(3x_1) \\
 (4.33) \quad & + 125970f(2x_1) - \frac{20!}{2}(167960)f(x_1), \dots, \\
 & f(10x_k) - 20f(9x_k) + 190f(8x_k) - 1140f(7x_k) + 4845f(6x_k) \\
 & - 15504f(5x_k) + 38760f(4x_k) - 77520f(3x_k) + 125970f(2x_k) \\
 & - \frac{20!}{2}(167960)f(x_k)\|_k \leq \frac{\delta}{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$. Multiplying (4.33) by 184756, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(184756f(10x_1) - 3695120f(9x_1) \\
 & + 35103640f(8x_1) - 210621840f(7x_1) \\
 & + 895142820f(6x_1) - 2864457024f(5x_1) \\
 & + 7161142560f(4x_1) - 14322285120f(3x_1) \\
 (4.34) \quad & + 23273713320f(2x_1) + 20!(524288)f(x_1), \dots, 184756f(10x_k) \\
 & - 3695120f(9x_k) + 35103640f(8x_k) - 210621840f(7x_k) \\
 & + 895142820f(6x_k) - 2864457024f(5x_k) + 7161142560f(4x_k) \\
 & - 14322285120f(3x_k) + 23273713320f(2x_k) \\
 & + 20!(524288)f(x_k)\|_k \leq \frac{184756}{2}\delta
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$. Plugging (4.32) into (4.34), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(-1216451004 \times 10^9 f(2x_1) \\
 (4.35) \quad & + 1275541328 \times 10^{15} f(x_1), \dots, -1216451004 \times 10^9 f(2x_k) \\
 & + 1275541328 \times 10^{15} f(x_k)\|_k \leq \frac{1048577}{2}\delta
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$. Dividing on both sides by -1216451×10^9 in (4.35), we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(-f(2x_1) + 1048576f(x_1), \dots, \\
 (4.36) \quad & -f(2x_k) + 1048576f(x_k)\|_k \leq \frac{1048577}{20!}\delta
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$. Once more, dividing on both sides by 1048576 in (4.36), we get

$$(4.37) \quad \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \left(\frac{1}{1048576} f(2x_1) - f(x_1), \dots, \frac{1}{1048576} f(2x_k) - f(x_k) \right) \right\|_k \leq \frac{1048577}{20!(1048576)} \delta$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $\Lambda = \{l : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} | l(0) = 0\}$ and consider the generalized metric d defined on Λ by

$$d(l, m) = \inf \left\{ \lambda \in [0, \infty] \mid \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| l(x_1) - m(x_1), \dots, l(x_k) - m(x_k) \right\|_k \leq \lambda \forall x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A} \right\}.$$

It is easy to show that (Λ, d) is a generalized complete metric space (see [20]). We define an operator $\mathcal{J} : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ by $\mathcal{J}l(x) = \frac{1}{2^{20}}l(2x)$ ($\forall x \in \mathcal{A}$). We assert that \mathcal{J} is a strictly contractive operator. Given $l, m \in \Lambda$, let $\lambda \in [0, \infty]$ be an arbitrary constant with $d(l, m) \leq \lambda$. From the definition it follows that

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| l(x_1) - m(x_1), \dots, l(x_k) - m(x_k) \right\|_k \leq \lambda \quad x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| (\mathcal{J}l(x_1) - \mathcal{J}m(x_1), \dots, \mathcal{J}l(x_k) - \mathcal{J}m(x_k)) \right\|_k \\ & \leq \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \left(\frac{1}{2^{20}}l(2x_1) - \frac{1}{2^{20}}m(2x_1), \dots, \frac{1}{2^{20}}l(2x_k) - \frac{1}{2^{20}}m(2x_k) \right) \right\|_k \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2^{20}} \lambda \end{aligned}$$

for $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$. So, $d(\mathcal{J}l, \mathcal{J}m) \leq \frac{1}{2^{20}} \lambda d(l, m) \leq \frac{1}{2^{20}} d(l, m)$ for all $l, m \in \Lambda$. This means that \mathcal{J} is strictly contractive operator on Λ with the Lipschitz constant $L = \frac{1}{2^{20}}$. By (4.37), we have $d(\mathcal{J}f, f) \leq \frac{1048577}{20!(1048576)} \delta$. Applying Theorem 2.4, we deduce the existence of a fixed point of \mathcal{J} which is the existence of mapping $\mathcal{V} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that $\mathcal{V}(2x) = 2^{20}\mathcal{V}(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Moreover, we have $d(\mathcal{J}^n f, \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ which necessities

$$\mathcal{V}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{J}^n f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^{20n}}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Also, $d(f, \mathcal{V}) \leq \frac{1}{1 - \mathcal{L}} d(\mathcal{J}f, f)$ implies the inequality $d(f, \mathcal{V}) \leq \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{2^{20}}} d(\mathcal{J}f, f) \leq \frac{61681}{1500635425 \times 10^{14}} \delta$. Put $x_1 = \dots = x_k =$

$2^n x, y_1 = \dots = y_k = 2^n y$ in (1.1) and divide both sides by 2^{20n} . Now, by applying the property (a) of multi-norms, we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{G}\mathcal{V}(x, y)\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{20n}} \|\mathcal{G}f(2^n x, 2^n y)\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{20n}} = 0$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. The uniqueness of \mathcal{V} follows from the fact that \mathcal{V} is the unique fixed point of \mathcal{J} with the property that there exists $\ell \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(f(x_1) - \mathcal{V}(x_1), \dots, f(x_k) - \mathcal{V}(x_k))\|_k \leq \ell$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{A}$. Therefore, \mathcal{V} is viginti a mapping. □

The idea of the following example is taken from [15] which provides an counter-example for the previous theorem.

EXAMPLE 4.2. Consider the function

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} x^{20}, & |x| < 1 \\ 1, & |x| \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

where $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$(4.38) \quad f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-20n} \phi(2^n x)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that the function f defined in (4.38) satisfies the functional inequality

$$(4.39) \quad |\mathcal{G}f(x, y)| \leq \frac{2432902008000000 \cdot (1048576)^2}{1048575} \delta$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. We show that there do not exist an viginti function $\mathcal{V} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a constant $\beta > 0$ such that $|f(x) - \mathcal{V}(x)| \leq \beta |x|^{20}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. We have

$$|f(x)| = \left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-20n} \phi(2^n x) \right| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{20n}} = \frac{1048576}{1048575}$$

So, we see that f bounded by $\frac{1048576}{1048575}$ on \mathbb{R} . Now, set $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2^{20}}$.

Then, there exists a positive integer k such that $\frac{1}{(2^{20})^{k+1}} \leq \delta < \frac{1}{(2^{20})^k}$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &2^n(x+10y), 2^n(x+9y), 2^n f(x+8y), 2^n f(x+7y), 2^n f(x+6y), \\ &2^n(x+5y), 2^n(x+4y), 2^n(x+3y), 2^n(x+2y), 2^n(x+y), 2^n(x), \\ &2^n(x-y), 2^n(x-2y), 2^n(x-3y), 2^n(x-4y), 2^n(x-5y), \\ &2^n(x-6y), 2^n f(x-7y), 2^n(x-8y), 2^n(x-9y), 2^n(x-10y), \\ &2^n f(y) \in (-1, 1) \end{aligned}$$

for all $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k-1$. Hence, for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi(2^n(x+10y)) - 20\phi(2^n(x+9y)) + 190\phi(2^n(x+8y)) \\ &- 1140\phi(2^n(x+7y)) + 4845\phi(2^n(x+6y)) - 15504\phi(2^n(x+5y)) \\ &+ 38760\phi(2^n(x+4y)) - 77520\phi(2^n(x+3y)) + 125970\phi(2^n(x+2y)) \\ &- 167960\phi(2^n(x+y)) + 184756\phi(2^n(x)) - 167960\phi(2^n(x-y)) \\ &+ 125970\phi(2^n(x-2y)) - 77520\phi(2^n(x-3y)) + 38760\phi(2^n(x-4y)) \\ &- 15504\phi(2^n(x-5y)) + 4845\phi(2^n(x-6y)) - 1140\phi(2^n(x-7y)) \\ &+ 190\phi(2^n(x-8y)) - 20\phi(2^n(x-9y)) + \phi(2^n(x-10y)) - 20!\phi(2^n(y)). \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of f and the inequality, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} &|\mathcal{G}f(x, y)| = \\ &\left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-20n} \phi(2^n(x+10y)) - 20 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-20n} \phi(2^n(x+9y)) \right. \\ &\quad + 190 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-20n} \phi(2^n(x+8y)) - 1140 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-20n} \phi(2^n(x+7y)) \\ &\quad + 4845 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-20n} \phi(2^n(x+6y)) - 15504 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-20n} \phi(2^n(x+5y)) \\ &\quad + 38760 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-20n} \phi(2^n(x+4y)) - 77520 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-20n} \phi(2^n(x+3y)) \\ &\quad + 125970 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-20n} \phi(2^n(x+2y)) - 167960 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-20n} \phi(2^n(x+y)) \\ &\quad \left. + 184756 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-20n} \phi(2^n(x)) - 167960 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-20n} \phi(2^n(x-y)) \right\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ 125970 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-20n} \phi(2^n(x - 2y)) - 77520 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-20n} \phi(2^n(x - 3y)) \\
 &+ 38760 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-20n} \phi(2^n(x - 4y)) - 15504 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-20n} \phi(2^n(x - 5y)) \\
 &+ 4845 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-20n} \phi(2^n(x - 6y)) - 1140 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-20n} \phi(2^n(x - 7y)) \\
 &+ 190 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-20n} \phi(2^n(x - 8y)) - 20 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-20n} \phi(2^n(x - 9y)) \\
 &+ \phi(2^n(x - 10y)) - 20! \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-20n} \phi(2^n(y)) \Big\| \\
 &\leq \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} 2^{-20n} .2432902008 \times 10^9 \leq \frac{2432902008 \times 10^9 (1048576)^2}{1048575} \delta.
 \end{aligned}$$

So, f satisfies (4.39) for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. we prove that the functional equation (1.1) is not stable. Suppose on the contrary that there exists an viginti function $\mathcal{V} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a constant $\beta > 0$ such that $|f(x) - \mathcal{V}(x)| \leq \beta |x|^{20}$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, there exists a constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathcal{V} = cx^{20}$ for all rational numbers x . So, we arrive that

$$(4.40) \quad |f(x)| \leq \beta + |c| \cdot |x|^{20}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{Q}$. Take $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m + 1 > \beta + |c|$. If x is a rational number in $(0, 2^{-m})$, then $2^n x \in (0, 1)$ for all $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$, and for this x , we get

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} 2^{-20n} \phi(2^n x)^{20} = (m + 1)x^{20} > \beta + |c| \cdot x^{20}$$

which contradicts (4.40). Therefore, the functional equation (1.1) is not stable.

Acknowledgements

The authors sincerely thank the anonymous reviewers for their careful reading, constructive comments and fruitful suggestions to improve the quality of the first draft.

References

[1] T. Aoki, *On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Soc. Japan. **2** (1950), 64-66.

- [2] M. Arunkumar, A. Bodaghi, J. M. Rassias, and E. Sathiya, *The general solution and approximations of a decic type functional equation in various normed spaces*, J. Chungcheong Math. Soc. **29** (2016), 287-328.
- [3] A. Bodaghi, *Stability of a mixed type additive and quartic function equation*, Filomat, **28** (2014), no. 8, 1629-1640.
- [4] A. Bodaghi, *Stability of a quartic functional equation*, Scientific World Journal, **2014**, Article ID 752146, 9pages.
- [5] A. Bodaghi, *Intuitionistic fuzzy stability of the generalized forms of cubic and quartic functional equations*, J. Intel. Fuzzy Syst. **30** (2016), 2309-2317.
- [6] A. Bodaghi, *Approximate mixed type additive and quartic functional equation*, Bol. Soc. Paran. Mat. **35** (2017), 43-56.
- [7] A. Bodaghi, D. Kang, and J. M. Rassias, *The mixed cubic-quartic functional equation*, An. Stiint. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iasi. Mat. (N.S.), **LXIII** (2017), 215-227.
- [8] A. Bodaghi and S. O. Kim, *Ulam's type stability of a functional equation deriving from quadratic and additive functions*, J. Math. Ineq. **9** (2015), 73-84.
- [9] A. Bodaghi, S. M. Moosavi, and H. Rahimi, *The generalized cubic functional equation and the stability of cubic Jordan *-derivations*, Ann. Univ. Ferrara, **59** (2013), 235-50.
- [10] A. Bodaghi and P. Narasimman, *Stability of the general form of quadratic-quartic functional equations in non-Archimedean \mathcal{L} -fuzzy normed spaces*, Tbilisi Math. J. **11** (2018), no. 1, 15-29.
- [11] A. Bodaghi, C. Park, and J. M. Rassias, *Fundamental stabilities of the nonic functional equation in intuitionistic fuzzy normed spaces*, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. **31** (2016), 729-743.
- [12] S. Czerwik, *On the stability of the quadratic mapping in normed spaces*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg, **62** (1992), 59-64.
- [13] H. G. Dales and M. Sal Moslehian, *Stability of mappings on multi-normed spaces*, Glas. Math. J. **49** (2007), 321-332.
- [14] J. B. Diaz and B. Margolis, *A fixed point theorem of the alternative for contractions on a generalized complete metric space*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **74** (1968), 305-309.
- [15] Z. Gajda, *On stability of additive mappings*, Int. J. Math. Sci. **14** (1991), 431-434.
- [16] P. Găvruta, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **184** (1994), 431-436.
- [17] M. E. Gordji, *Stability of a functional equation deriving from quartic and additive functions*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **47** (2010), 491-502.
- [18] D. H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, **27** (1941), 222-224.
- [19] K. W. Jun and H. M. Kim, *The generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of a cubic functional equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **274** (2002), 867-878.
- [20] D. Mihet and V. Radu, *On the stability of the additive Cauchy functional equation in random normed spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **343** (2008), 567-572.
- [21] P. Narasimman and A. Bodaghi, *Solution and stability of a mixed type functional equation*, Filomat, **31** (2017), 1229-1239.
- [22] J. M. Rassias, *Solution of the Ulam stability problem for quartic mappings*, Glasnik Matematički Series III, **34** (1999) 243-252.

- [23] J. M. Rassias, *Solution of the Ulam stability problem for cubic mappings*, Glas. Mat. Ser. III, **36** (2001), no. 56, 63-72.
- [24] J. M. Rassias, M. Arunkumar, E. Sathya, and T. Namachivayam, *Various generalized Ulam-Hyers Stabilities of a nonic functional equations*, Tbilisi Math. J. **9** (2016), 159-196.
- [25] J. M. Rassias and M. Eslamian, *Fixed points and stability of nonic functional equation in quasi- β -normed spaces*, Cont. Anal. Appl. Math. **3** (2015), 293-309.
- [26] Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1978), 297-300.
- [27] K. Ravi, J. M. Rassias, and B. V. Senthil Kumar, *Ulam-Hyers stability of undecic functional equation in quasi-beta normed spaces fixed point method*, Tbilisi Math. Sci. **9** (2016), 83-103.
- [28] K. Ravi, J. M. Rassias, S. Pinelas, and S. Suresh, *General solution and stability of quattuordecic functional equation in quasi beta-normed spaces*, Adv. Pure Math. **6** (2016), 921-941.
- [29] F. Skof, *Propriet localie approssimazione di operatori*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano, **53** (1983), 113-129.
- [30] M. Turinici, *Sequentially iterative processes and applications to Volterra functional equations*, Annales Univ. Mariae-Curie Sklodowska, (Sect A), **32** (1978), 127-134.
- [31] S. M. Ulam, *A Collection of the Mathematical Problems*, Interscience, New York, 1960.
- [32] T. Z. Xu and J. M. Rassias, *Approximate septic and octic mappings in quasi- β -normed spaces*, J. Comput. Anal. Appl. **15** (2013), no. 6, 1110-1119.
- [33] T. Z. Xu, J. M. Rassias, and W. X. Xu, *A generalized mixed quadratic-quartic functional equation*, Bulletin Malay. Math. Sci. Soc. **35** (2012), 633-649.
- [34] T. Z. Xu, J. M. Rassias, M. J. Rassias, and W. X. Xu, *A fixed point approach to the stability of quintic and sextic functional equations in quasi- β -normed spaces*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2010**, Article ID 423231, 23pages, doi:10.1155/2010/423231.

*

Department of Mathematics
Sacred Heart College
Tirupattur-635601, TamilNadu, India
E-mail: shcrmurali@yahoo.co.in

**

Department of Mathematics
Garmsar Branch
Islamic Azad University, Garmsar, Iran
E-mail: abasalt.bodaghi@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics
Sacred Heart College
Tirupattur-635601, TamilNadu, India
E-mail: antoyellow92@gmail.com